



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

 APPLICATION NO.
 FILING DATE
 FIRST NAMED INVENTOR
 ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
 CONFIRMATION NO.

 09/441,832
 11/17/1999
 CLEMENT LAWSON
 2870/192
 5091

 26646
 7590
 12/18/2001
 EXAMINER

KENYON & KENYON ONE BROADWAY NEW YORK, NY 10004 EXAMINER BERMAN, ALYSIA

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER
1619

DATE MAILED: 12/18/2001

9

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/441,832	LAWSON ET AL.
Examin r	Art Unit
Alysia Berman	1619

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 04 December 2001 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

	Examination (NOE) in compilance with 37 CFR 1.114.
	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
	a) Light The period for reply expires months from the mailing data of the first in the period for reply expires
	no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection, whichever is later. In ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION.
	Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
	1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
	2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
	(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
	(b) If they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below):
	(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
	(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE
-	3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): See Continuation Sheet.
	4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
	5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .
	6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
	7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
	Claim(s) allowed:
	Claim(s) objected to:
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,7-19,21,23,25 and 26</u> .
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>4-6,20,22 and 24.</u>
	8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Exeminat
1	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
1	0. Other:
U.S.	Patent and Trademark Office



Continuation of 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 35 U.S.C. 102(b) rejection of claims 1, 2, 7-11 and 14 over US 5,747,049.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: it does not overcome all of the 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph rejections or all of the prior art rejections. All of the arguments presented by Applicant have already been addressed in paper no. 7. As to Applicant's argument that US 5,567,420 (420) fails to disclose non-emulsion gels, the reference does not require that the gels contain oil or that the gels are emulsions. The abstract and claim 1 of US '420 clearly distinguish gels from water and oil emulsions. Applicant argues that US 5,424,070 (070) does not teach equivalence of sodium behenate and sodium stearate. US '070 discloses at column 4, lines 30-35, that the composition is gelled with sodium salts of fatty acids of C12-C22 carbon chain length. Although, US '070 reference is prior art. A reference is not limited to its preferred embodiments or working examples. Behenic acid and stearic acid are homologs that differ only by 4 carbon atoms. One skilled in the art would reasonably expect similar results when substituting sodium behenate for sodium stearate because close structural similarity of the reference compound suggests the claimed compound. It is the Examiner's position that US '070 discloses that sodium salts of fatty acids as described above are equivalent.

alpa

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600